Chapter 43

The internet and clinical neuropsychology: privacy, personal safety and effective internet use

Vaughan Bell

1 Introduction

Although only five years have passed since the original version of this chapter was written, it is clear that an update is greatly needed owing to the rapid change in internet technology and culture. This transformation has included the demographics and sophistication of the users, the capabilities of the technology, and the waxing and waning of fashions in both online pursuits and attempts at regulation. To a far greater extent than when the first edition was written, clinical work relies on the internet, and so is increasingly subject to its advantages, problems and dangers. This revised version has a significantly greater focus on personal privacy and safety online, as dangers have become more apparent, and on the diversity and range of internet tools, as the technological possibilities have expanded.

The internet is the single largest and most diverse resource available to clinical neuropsychologists and remains a powerful ally for any patient focused practitioner. It is useful not only because it provides convenient access to a vast array of clinical and scientific information, but also because it allows disparate individuals to widen the informal networks that provide the bedrock of mutual co-operation and education that characterises contemporary clinical science.

This silver lining does not however, come without an accompanying cloud and as with any clinical tool, there are practical and ethical issues that have to be addressed. Increasingly, clinicians need to be aware of the impact of the internet on their privacy and personal safety, as patients, public and other professionals will routinely search for personal information online. Similarly, whilst no clinician would dream of using a novel practice without fully understanding its implications it is not unusual for even the most accomplished of clinical scientists to understand only enough of the internet to facilitate its use without being able to address these issues. This inevitably leads at best to wasted time and frustration, and at worst to serious implications for the welfare of patients (Alejandro et al., 2000).

This chapter aims to provide practical advice so the internet can be used effectively, whilst giving an outline of the additional ethical considerations that internet communication presents.
2 Personal safety and privacy

Clinicians have more reasons than most for needing to be competent in managing their online privacy. At the most extreme end, stalking of clinicians has been cited as an ‘under-recognised problem’ (McIvor and Petch, 2006) and most professionals are unaware of how much personal information can be acquired using the internet. Perhaps less dramatically, clinicians may simply not want their private lives available to anyone who searches for it, and might be concerned that views, opinions or accounts of their participation in informal social events might influence the impression they prefer to portray in their professional lives.

This information comes from two main sources. The first is information that is not intended to be available publicly, but which can be found through unobvious or ‘grey’ sources; the second is information that is public and appropriate for one audience, but which might be considered inappropriate or when found by another.

Many websites or commercial services request personal information and promise to keep it private. Key questions to ask yourself when you enter personal information is ‘private from whom?’ and ‘can I trust the organisation to be honest and competent in their data security?’. It is important to read instructions carefully when entering such information as it may automatically be passed on to other companies (potentially companies who specialise in selling databases of personal information for marketing purposes). Furthermore, the company may be lax in its security, either in terms of its computer facilities (large scale ‘personal information theft’ from major companies is now a regular occurrence) or its vetting and management of employees. Each individual request should be evaluated in detail, and you may wish to consider using a pseudonym, entering non-identifying details or using a ‘throwaway’ free webmail account if privacy is of key concern. It is also worth remembering that some online companies specialise in providing access (usually for a fee) to databases of personal information taken from the electoral roll, phone book, or professional registers that would not otherwise be available.

The popularity of online journals, message boards, photo sharing sites, social networking tools and internet dating has meant that people are now revealing much more personal information that was ever possible before. While the majority of this is routine and even banal, the ‘ghost of internet past’ has come back to haunt certain individuals when circumstances change or unimagined viewers see the material. For example, several high profile cases have been reported where individuals have published fairly unremarkable informal pictures online only to be fired because their employers found them unacceptable. Notably, the law is unclear on the legality of these moves, but if not legally problematic, the unimagined professional or social consequences may be at least uncomfortable.

Clinicians wanting to better control their online privacy need to both be careful of what information they put online, as well as regularly monitor what personal information is available.

Any personal information should be filtered through a consideration of what might be the worst case scenario of the information getting into the wrong hands. While this may seem overly cautious, several projects are now attempting to archive all publicly available
internet information, so while the risk now may be minimal, it has to be considered that the information will potentially be available in searchable form indefinitely.

It is also wise to conduct regular internet searches for yourself—searching for your name, email address or titles of personal online resources with popular internet search engines. While some may feel awkward about doing this because of notions about seeming vain, it is an important step in managing your online privacy and will give you an idea of what information can be obtained about you by casual browsers. You may also wish to try with popular ‘grey sources’ (e.g. online phone directories or registers; 192.com is popular UK service which includes the electoral roll). Notably, many services now have provision for removal of personal information on application.

Importantly, people with uncommon names are at greater risk of being individually identified. A ‘Dr Jane Smith’ is less at risk of having her privacy breached than a ‘Dr Xavier Rubicon’, not because she has less personal information online, but because it is less easy to tie specific information with one of the many individuals who share her name.

In terms of patient privacy, patient information is increasingly stored on hospital, service or district-wide databases. While the main responsibility of securing this information from public view and ensuring patient privacy will rest with technical department, choosing secure passwords, not logging in from potentially insecure computers (such as internet cafes) and not printing out unnecessary information will likely to ensure patient confidentiality. One additional concern, however, is that these electronic records are potentially available to a larger number of staff than with paper and file notes. Because records are likely to be stored indefinitely, you may wish to think carefully about what information is entered into these notes, as, for example, details of psychological therapy sessions may contain private details that the person may not want anyone outside their immediate care team to know about.

3 E-mail communication and etiquette

E-mail has always been the lingua franca of internet communication by virtue of its ubiquitous nature and flexibility. The utility of a system that allows electronic messages to be delivered to an individual, usually within minutes and regardless of their physical location, would seem to be self evident. As Smith and Senior (2001) have noted, e-mail is becoming the preferred method of communication for the psychologist, and clinical neuropsychologists will undoubtedly find this facility as useful, if not more so, than any other e-mail user. For this reason it is important that clinicians should be fully aware of the facilities, pitfalls and customs that accompany e-mail communication.

Notably, in many countries a ‘freedom of information’ law may require organisations to make employee emails available to anyone who requests it. Clinicians should therefore be aware that their work emails may become publicly available and are increasingly used as evidence in court. Awareness of this should guide both the style and clarity of writing, as well as decisions about which personal information and communications would be better left to an email system not operated by the employer.
Furthermore, email attachments are a major source of viruses and ‘malware’: malicious software specifically designed to damage the computer software or steal personal information. Adequate and up-to-date antivirus software should be available and you should be aware that sending non-work material on an employer’s system is often a dismissible breach of guidelines, regardless of whether anyone takes offence. Similarly, unsolicited email is now a fact of life and much contains innocent looking links to what turns out to be pornographic material or sites designed to fool you into revealing personal information or installing malicious software. Any email from a commercial company (especially a bank) asking you to re-enter login details or payment should be considered highly suspicious. Anything which looks too good to be true probably is. If you are suspicious, searching for the title or text of the email in an internet search engine often reveals the most common (and, only the most common) hoaxes and scams.

3.1 One-to-one email communication

As with any sort of communication, messages must be appropriate to their recipient and so content and style may differ accordingly. However, there are several ways of using the medium to its best advantage for the benefit of both parties.

3.1.1 Write clearly and provide context

Whilst an email may be perfectly understandable in the context of an ongoing dialogue, when read some months or even weeks later it may make little sense. This may not be such an issue for informal communication, but exchanges concerning issues of importance may be referred back to as is frequently the case in clinical settings.

One simple way of doing this is to use context quoting, where the relevant section of the received e-mail is quoted with the response added below, like thus:

I still haven’t found the amnesia paper I was after.

Not to worry, I found a copy and will post it to you.

This allows your reply to be concise whilst maintaining context and avoiding the unnecessary bulk of including the whole of the previous email. Quoting or including the whole email may be necessary in some instances (and is becoming increasingly common as fast internet connections are more widely used), but be aware that this can quickly lead to long and confusing emails which may hinder rather than help comprehension of the dialogue.

3.1.2 Use the lowest common denominator

Plain text is preferable to any form of embellishment that an email program may provide such as sending HTML mail (creating and sending email as web pages). Everyone on the internet can read plain text email, not everyone may be able to read any additional features that may be added. Even if you know that the person you are writing to can read your special format of email, this might prevent someone else from reading it if it gets forwarded on as is often the case in the team environments that clinical neuropsychologists commonly work in.
3.1.3 Attachments must be appropriate

Internet connections are becoming faster by the year, and there is no hard and fast rule about what a maximum attachment size should be, but try and be aware of how large attachments are likely to be slower to download and more difficult to manage when retrieved. When sending an attachment state its size and type clearly, as this may not always be obvious to the recipient (e.g. ‘the attached paper is a 940k Microsoft Word 2007 file’). If you are technically able and the attachment does not contain private information, it is often better to upload the file to the web and pass on the link to it, so the recipient can download it in their preferred manner.

3.1.4 Remember, email is not written speech

Due to the nature of text based communication many of the subtleties of face to face communication are easily lost, leading to a message being misinterpreted. Particular caution must be taken when using sarcasm, humour or ambiguous statements. Similarly, short functional replies may be interpreted as irritable. Sentences or phrases can be emphasised or softened by the use of punctuation such as asterisks (‘I really must *stress* this point’) or by using emoticons or ‘smileys’ to indicate the emotional context of a statement. Excessive use of emoticons is considered a little gauche and most people stick to simplified versions such as:) to indicate positive emotion, for example:

I really enjoyed your talk:)

or:(to indicate a negative emotion, for example:

That’s the third time this week:(

3.2 One-to-many email communication

Discussion lists, where email is used to continue an ongoing dialogue with a group of people, can be a source of practical help, support and inspiration as well as a distraction and annoyance. One enquiring email to a group of similarly focused professionals can be worth many hours searching through databases or on the phone, and such lists may also serve as a source of news and announcements and as a way of forming informal associations that can lead to valuable collaborations. Internet discussion lists are however, notorious for bringing out the worst in people, not least because e-mail can so easily be misinterpreted, but also because it is often difficult to fathom the unwritten rules of the group without accidentally violating them.

Simple guidelines (colloquially called ‘netiquette’) have been formulated to facilitate group interaction in internet discussion groups and include the points covered in our discussion for one to one email communication, with a few additions and alterations.

3.2.1 Attachments should not be sent to a discussion list

Such lists may involve hundreds of subscribers, many of which will not appreciate having to receive a large file that may only be of interest to a minority. If you have a file you wish to disseminate, either ask who wishes to receive it and mail it to them personally, or if possible upload it to a web site and post the location so subscribers can download it at their leisure.
3.2.2 **Watch who you are replying to**

Additional recipients can be included in an email and by clicking the 'Reply' button you may inadvertently reply to them all. Similarly, if you wish to respond to a public email privately, make sure you are doing so and not accidentally mailing the whole list. Sending a personal email to a public list can be a cause of embarrassment, or in a clinical environment, a potential breach of confidentiality.

3.2.3 **Group emails should be of group benefit**

Discussion lists are measured by their signal to noise ratio. Lots of irrelevant chatter and 'content-free' contributions encourage an overall decrease in useful interaction and cause genuinely interested people to unsubscribe. That’s not to say you necessarily always have to stick exactly to the list topic, but signal heavy content is usually much preferred. In some cases, the discussion list will be moderated so irrelevant messages will not reach the mailing list at all. Furthermore, users often mentally note who sends out useful emails, so sending large numbers of low-relevance messages makes your more important ones less likely to be read.

Recently ethical concerns have been raised about people asking for advice on discussion lists with emails that contain information that may identify the patient (Benkhe, 2007). This is obviously a breach of patient confidentiality and should, of course, be avoided. It is also worth remembering that some comments that will be taken as obviously light-hearted by colleagues may not be similarly appreciated when taken out of context or discovered by later subscribers or people searching the archives.

3.2.4 **Don’t fan the flames**

'Flaming' or the descent into vitriolic argument seems to be a fact of life on internet discussion lists. Clinical practice is, for most practitioners, a passionate interest and is likely to cause heated debate. Whilst it may seem churlish to remind competent professionals that other list members should be treated with respect, I have yet to find a discussion list where this has not happened at least once. Such heated exchanges are usually the result of a perceived but unintended slight, or when the participants do not realise that their exchange has gone beyond an informative debate of general interest into petty pedantry.

4 **Using the web**

The world wide web has two major advantages for clinical neuropsychologists. Firstly that it allows the targeted retrieval of relevant clinical and scientific information and secondly that it allows information to be easily disseminated with the burden of acquisition placed upon the retriever. Documents, pictures, video or any other sort of digital information need only to be placed on the web, and their existence flagged so interested parties can access them, all without further intervention from the author.

4.1 **Targeted searching**

It is worth noting that there is more to effective searching than being familiar with the tools. The internet can be thought of as a town. Whilst you may be perfectly competent at
buying items in a shop, it is your knowledge of the town that makes this ability useful. Similarly, spending time to overview the general internet resources available to clinical neuropsychologists is often useful for the same reason that general theoretical overviews are useful in any science. Whilst you may not have the information to hand, at least you know the best place to go and find it, saving you valuable time and frustration along the way.

The most useful skill in searching the web for relevant information is not fishing out the gold but filtering out the rubbish. Search engines use entered keywords to identify pages that contain those words somewhere on the page, and hence the best strategy is often not to use words which best describe what you want to find, but to use words which are most likely to appear on pages containing the information you require. For example, searching the web using the keyword ‘amnesia’ brings up lots of irrelevant information as it is often used as a catchy name for everything from nightclubs to novels. However, a similar search using the keywords ‘memory loss’ returns lots of highly relevant references.

Humans are inevitably better at evaluating small amounts of information for relevancy than computers, so another useful technique is to use search engines to narrow the field or to give leads. In fields such a clinical neuropsychology where the sources of information may be limited, it is often the case that you may find yourself searching for pages that will then point you to the information you are eventually hoping to find. For example, if I wanted to find information about the notional conference ‘Neuropsychology and the Internet’ entering these terms may produce a great deal of irrelevant results, either because there may be many pages containing these words which have nothing to do with the conference I wish to find, or because the conference web pages are either non-existent, or have not been catalogued by the search engines’ databases. A preferred approach may be to search using the keywords ‘Neuropsychology Conferences’ to find a page that lists conferences relevant to neuropsychologists (of which there are many) and look for a listing made by an ever reliable human.

Some search engines, and many online databases may allow searches to be made specific by searching for an exact phrase, or by using terms such as AND, OR and NOT. The use of such terms is out of the scope of this particular chapter but almost all facilities that allow such searches have online help and guides in their use. Users of longstanding electronic databases such as PsychINFO/PsychLIT or Medline/PubMed who recognise these terms may find that they can use exactly the same or very similar syntax on many popular internet search engines. These services now typically allow an email alerting service that will regularly run your chosen search and send you any new matching papers added during the week.

One of the key concepts behind the way search engines display their results is an algorithm that works out the popularity of each page that matches the search terms, based on how many other pages link to it. This has now been applied to searches for academic material by using citations rather than links (the most popular being Google Scholar; scholar.google.com). This can be a powerful way of quickly getting an overview of an area. For example, if you want to know the key review papers in ‘addiction’, entering this
term will give you a list of which are the most cited (i.e. influential) papers in the field. It is also a useful way of tracking how many citations any particular paper receives over time.

4.2 The power of ‘social bookmarking’ sites

More recent innovations have included the development of ‘social bookmarking’ websites. These allow users to maintain an online list of links or references and to add your own keywords to each one. Crucially, it also allows you to search the entire database of all users by a specific keyword. This means when you ask for references tagged ‘aphasia’, you get all the papers tagged by others as relevant to aphasia. Essentially, you are sampling the distribution of what the user population thinks is relevant and this can often point you towards new conceptual connections or relevant work from other fields. There are now such sites aimed at cataloguing general internet links (e.g. del.icio.us; reddit.com; digg.com) as well as those specifically designed for academic references (e.g. CiteULike.org; Connotea.org). This concept is now standard on many sites that catalogue everything from personal photos to experimental data and can be a powerful way of determining both the depth and breadth of a field or conceptual area.

4.3 Subscribing to ‘feeds’

Regularly updated sites often publish ‘feeds’ or ‘RSS feeds’, a data format that allows your web browser or dedicated ‘feed reader’ software to quickly gather and summarise new content (such as new articles, news items or editions of radio programmes) without you having to go to each individual web site to check for updates. These can be integrated into the web browser, work as specific online services (e.g. Google Reader; reader.google.com) or as standalone software packages. How to subscribe to each feed varies by method, but an up-to-date list of methods and software is keep on the ‘feed reader’ page on Wikipedia (en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Feed_reader).

4.4 Creating web pages

Internet publishing can, in principal, simplify a great deal of the information distribution problem. Attendees can download notes from your talk, colleagues can retrieve minutes of meetings and important documentation such as ethics forms and other administrative templates, while you can do the same without having to chase after the person with the keys to the right filing cabinet.

In practice, it is a lot more difficult, largely because the easy to use click-and-go tools are not yet available for web publishing as they are for web searching. To publish effectively on the web you either need to put some time and effort into learning the necessary steps, or if working in a suitable institution, have the support of suitably skilled computer or internet technicians.

One of the most important innovations during the last five years has been the widespread use of ‘wikis’—websites that use a simplified notation system so users can edit webpage. The most well known is Wikipedia (en.wikipedia.org for the English version),
an online encyclopaedia where anyone can edit the entries. What sounds like a recipe for disaster actually works remarkably well and demonstrates the power of collective knowledge archiving. Wikis are particularly good for organising information for things like conferences or group projects and work like virtual notice boards that anyone can add useful information to. There are now several services on the net that allow you to set up your own wiki, either for free (e.g. wikia.com) or for a fee (e.g. most commercial internet companies) and often allow you to set the degree of access, so it's possible to restrict editing to certain users or administrators if necessary.

To create more complex web sites it is pointless trying to outline all the necessary steps here, both because they will vary wildly depending on the web page hosting arrangements available (institutions own/commercial services/free services) and because high quality easy to understand guides are regularly published in internet magazines and provided by web hosting companies, who often have support lines to aid in technical issues.

As with most technological issues the initial curve is the hardest to climb but once completed, web publishing can be as painless as sending an email.

Some desirable features may or may not be available and it might be worth checking if you feel these might be necessary. Such features may include: password protection for areas or particular documents within your web site, large amounts of storage space, particularly if you wish to disseminate video data, and the ability to run interactive programs, if you wish to make use of certain types of online questionnaires and data collection.

When creating public web pages, you may wish to consider some of the aspects that allow pages to be successfully found via search engines, such as including your own keywords and all the relevant names if a theory, concept or object has alternative naming conventions. Similarly, you may use your own experience of what is pleasant to read and navigate when designing your layout or consult the growing range of books which attempt to explain good web page design to non-computer professionals.

4.5 Making video available online

There are now a multitude of sites (e.g. YouTube.com; video.google.com) which allow video to be uploaded to the web free of charge which can be viewed online, but usually not downloaded to be watched when not connected to the internet. These are often a useful and cheap way of distributing otherwise large video files but bear in mind the quality may be poor in some cases and you may need some assistance with video editing to get optimal results if you are not familiar with the process.

4.6 Blogs and online journals

Blogs are online journals that allow a simple and straightforward way of publishing on the web. There are now a huge number of services that will host your blog for free (with accompanying adverts) and many that will do the same without adverts for only a few dollars a month. Blogs vary in their purpose with some being individual streams of consciousness and others being more journalistic and news-focused. A regular insight into the specialist world of clinical neuropsychology can be a powerful way of communicating facts and commentary about recent developments either to a professional or lay audience.
Nevertheless, special care must be taken if you intend to write about your work. A code of ethics has been developed for health care workers who write online (medbloggercode.com) although it is voluntary and has no official status. It is probably worth being aware that while your typical audience may only be a handful of people, anything that is deemed controversial or unwise may have a potential audience of millions so extra care must be taken to ensure that all ethical guidelines are followed. On a more pragmatic level, as blogs can be set up in a matter of minutes with minimal cost they are often a useful way of creating instant news sites for conferences, events, classes or interest groups.

5 Effective use of internet software

There are two main problems which may affect a useful internet work session. The first is poor design or broken technology on the remote web site or resource, the second is inefficient use of web browser software.

Frequently, there is little a user can do about remote web site failures or errors, frustrating as they might be. It is however, often worth sending the site administrator a short email pointing out the problem you have encountered. System administrators tend to be somewhat overburdened and are unable to spend vast amounts of time checking every nook and cranny of a complex web site to make sure it still works after every alteration. A polite email pointing out an error in the site is often useful for them, and may mean your required information is fixed within a matter of hours. On a less positive note, technically competent people (especially the overworked ones who are contacted out of the blue), tend to attribute problems to stupidity in the first instance and stop investigating a reported problem (rightly or wrongly) at the first sign that a user might not understand the technology. Less confident users may wish to quickly check with a more experienced colleague that their problem is genuinely with the web site, and not their usage of the software, to increase the chances of a helpful response.

One alternative solution to missing or broken pages is to make use of internet search engines. If you click on a link which leads nowhere, or a web address you have been given does not work, try typing the title of the page into a search engine. If the page has moved, the search engine may have catalogued its new location. Another useful feature, pioneered by the search engine Google (www.google.com) is a system which stores copies of all the pages it knows about. Even if a page has been deleted from its original location, Google may still have a copy in its cache. Simply search for the page as normal, and click the ‘Cached’ link to see the saved version. A web site called the Way Back Machine (www.archive.org), solely exists for this purpose and has archives of web pages stretching back some years. It is also worth remembering that such archives are kept by third parties if you are considering putting personal or sensitive information on the web. You may be able to remove your own web pages, but will not be able to remove the same information from external archives.

The problem of inefficient web browser use can greatly affect the speed and ease of an internet work session. In the early days of the web, browsers were fairly small and lightweight. They had only limited functionality as web sites were relatively unsophisticated. More recently, due to the demands of newer web site technology, increased multimedia
usage and (it has to be said) poor design, browsers have obtained a reputation for being slow, buggy and monolithic. Whilst this situation is gradually improving, a good grasp of how to maximise browser performance may save you a great deal of time and hair-loss.

There are some good practices that apply to almost all computer software you will use. Firstly, it is important to get a good overview of the software, a good habit is to read through all the main menus and familiarise yourself with the options before you start using any new piece of software. This will give you an instant overview of the package’s functionality, and will also make any additional documentation (like the electronic help files) much more understandable. Secondly, try and load or enable only the software, features or options that you need. If you feel competent in software configuration, experiment and see which options improve your usage. Often there are many like minded users on the internet, some of which kindly publish their gems of wisdom. A web search using the name of your software package and the word ‘hack’ or ‘optimisation’ (or ‘optimization’ to retrieve pages using the American spelling) as key words will often give you a wealth of information.

An excellent review article by Al-Shahu et al. (2002) has lots of useful pointers in this regard, and the table below (Table 43.1) is derived from their paper.

6 Ethics of internet communication

The use of internet communication by clinical neuropsychologists causes some novel ethical dilemmas because of two major considerations. The first is the privacy implications of using the internet and internet software (notorious for their lack of adequate security) for information which you may be legally and ethically bound to keep confidential. The second is the issue of copyright and its use to restrict the dissemination of information which could aid the treatment of patients or education of clinicians, when such dissemination can be conducted for near zero cost when conducted via the internet.

6.1 Internet security and confidentiality

The idea that a patient’s medical information should only be available to people directly involved in their medical care, and that it is the carers responsibility to maintain this confidentiality, are core values in the health care system. It will perhaps come as a surprise to many clinicians that sending information over the internet is as confidential as discussing a patient’s details on a crowded bus. It may be true that no-one is interested but that does not change the fact that the information is available to many people to whom the patient has not consented access.

With easily available software, any person can intercept and store all of the unencrypted information on your local network without being detected. Government agencies routinely intercept internet traffic, and while this may not be considered a major concern for the majority of patients, it must be noted that in the recent case of General Augusto Pinochet’s extradition from the UK, the decision rested on a neuropsychology assessment of his mental fitness. Whilst not all such ethical dilemmas might be as dramatic, each patient should be able to rely on the confidentiality of their clinical records or related information, including when they are communicated via the internet.
Similarly, much common internet software is susceptible to viruses which can also breach such confidentiality agreements. In the case of one particular virus which exploits vulnerabilities in popular email software, a document is randomly selected and mailed to everyone in the user’s address book. This author has personally received a confidential case report which got mailed by this virus to a public mailing list which happened to be listed in the infected user’s email address book.
One way of assuming responsibility for clinical confidentiality is to ensure that adequate advice is taken on the implications of using particular software and taking precautions to prevent unhappy accidents, such as running up-to-date anti-virus software. In the case of internet communication the use of encryption software to scramble the contents of messages so only the intended recipient can decrypt or unscramble the potentially sensitive information is currently the best method to ensure private communication.

The use of encryption software is, unfortunately, still scarcely used, and not as user friendly as it could be. However, this is rapidly changing, and is becoming accessible to motivated individuals willing to spend a little time learning the ropes. Any clinical neuropsychologists who are provided with their internet access by an employer or institution should push for encryption to be common practice rather than the exception and take good advice from competent computer professionals on suitable software for this purpose.

Clinicians may also wish to consider encryption for storing files on the local disk in case of theft. Luckily, software which creates secure password protected areas is considerably more user-friendly and is readily available. TrueCrypt is a particularly good example of a well-designed, secure and free software package available to do exactly this (truecrypt.org).

6.2 Electronic publishing

The debate over the ownership of scientific literature has recently become particularly salient (see Laporte and Hibbitts, 1996, for an excellent analysis), largely because the traditional role of publishers as the cog wheels of journal distribution is becoming increasingly redundant as the internet becomes the preferred method for information dissemination. Since information can be distributed across the internet for near zero cost, questions have been raised about the ethics of using copyright to restrict information which could be used for the benefit of the patient and society at large (Bachrach et al., 1998).

However, whilst no-one would doubt the benefits of peer review in scientific and clinical research, doubts have been raised about the possible decline in quality that may occur if copyright for clinical and scientific journals is abolished (Bloom, 1998).

Clinical neuropsychologists may also face similar dilemmas when producing standardised neuropsychological tests. The question of whether it is ethical to cede copyright to a publisher who may charge large sums of money for a copy of a potentially beneficial clinical test is a thorny issue. For some tests (such as the Block Design subscale of the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale) that may require specifically prepared materials that cannot be simply provided as digital templates, it would seem that a third party publisher may be the best method of effective distribution. Many neuropsychological tests are however, produced by publically funded neuropsychologists and can easily be distributed as digital copies to appropriate recipients. Many would argue that to restrict and charge for clinical tests that may be used directly in a patient’s care, or in valuable clinical research when a near zero cost distribution method is available, could be considered as unethical, or at the very least, obstructive.
One issue increasingly important issue is the availability of information about neuropsychological tests on the internet which may compromise their validity. Recent surveys of information on medical examinations and tests of malingering found that potentially compromising information was not common, but was available online (Bauer and McCaffrey, 2006; Horwitz and McCaffrey, 2006). Clinicians should be careful not to inadvertently release such information when discussing their work online, and should also attempt to design tests that are not compromised by the material being made available.
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